

The 2023 Prevalent

Third-Party Risk Management Study

How Are Organizations Avoiding
TPRM Turbulence?

In early 2023, Prevalent conducted a study on current trends, challenges and initiatives impacting third-party risk management (TPRM) practitioners worldwide – specifically as they relate to the use of **manual processes, third-party incident response and the vendor lifecycle.**


Top Concern: Data Breaches

Companies reported that the top concern regarding their usage of third parties was a data breach or other security incident due to poor vendor security practices.

Primary Impact: Cost


41% of respondents reported experiencing a data breach or other security incident that had a tangible impact in the last 12 months.

Those incidents primarily resulted in **costs to remediate or recover** from the breach or incident – more so than losing customers, revenue or reputation.




More Tools Available

Organizations reported having access to more response tools, such as Dark Web monitoring and data breach monitoring, versus in the previous year. This may be why the number of third-party incidents appears to have leveled off. The downside? Way too many companies are manually assessing vendors.



More TPRM programs have reached cruising altitude this year.

In fact, the number of companies **not** monitoring for third-party breaches dropped from 12% to 4%.




InfoSec in the Pilot's Seat

Information Security involvement in third-party risk management increased for 70% of respondents, and the InfoSec department now owns the TPRM program in 71% of companies.

We believe this signals a greater adoption of TPRM as a standard security practice in organizations.




TPRM Program and Third-Party Relationship Ownership




What's Driving the Increased Involvement?

62% of respondents indicated that third-party data breaches and security incidents were top drivers behind increased Information Security involvement.



TPRM Remains a Team Effort

TPRM still relies on contributions from several departments with distinct priorities, with all departments increasing their involvement since **last year**.




When we asked about departmental goals for TPRM, we learned:

- Risk Management teams** want to **achieve compliance** (53%) and **address the risks of working** with IT vendors (52%).
- Compliance/Audit teams** want to **achieve compliance** (79%), as do Executives (51%) and Business Owners (44%).
- The Procurement department** owns the third-party relationship but not the program, and are driven by **speeding or simplifying new vendor onboarding** (74%).
- Executives** are primarily consumers of **third-party risk reports**, increasing to 41% in 2023.

Spreadsheets Still Causing Turbulence

A disappointing trend continues in 2023 as a growing number of organizations (48%) are using spreadsheets to assess their third parties. This percentage is up from 2022 and 2021, where 45% and 42% of companies, respectively, said they were using spreadsheets.





Manual Methods Don't Fly

It therefore shouldn't come as a surprise that a growing percentage of companies responded "Unsure" when asked if their current method of assessing risks throughout the lifecycle, assessing multiple risk types, reporting and incident response was working.

Method	Percentage
Meeting the needs of all departments involved?	50%
Able to assess risk at every stage of the vendor lifecycle?	60%
Able to assess risks across security, business and reputation categories?	45%
Delivering the automation and reporting necessary to efficiently demonstrate compliance?	62%
Helping you be more proactive in third-party incident response?	42%
Satisfying executive and board demands for more information on third-party risks?	43%


Post-Flight Checks Are Lacking

The **Offboarding and Termination** stage of the third-party relationship lifecycle sees the lowest percentage of companies tracking (47%) and remediating (38%) risks, and the highest percentage of companies doing nothing at all (39%).



Biggest Challenges Across the Lifecycle

Several stages of the third-party risk lifecycle present clear challenges due to manual processes.



Perhaps this lack of efficiency is the reason behind the gap between tracking and remediating risks.

Primary Methods of Tracking Risk

Spreadsheets still represent the #1 method of assessing third-party risk and are mostly used at the Sourcing/Pre-Contract Due Diligence stage (31%).



Risk assessment tools were the most popular tool cited by respondents and were reported as the primary tool in 29% of all responses. They are used frequently during the middle stages of the lifecycle.

Unfortunately, spreadsheets followed closely behind, being the cited as the most popular tool used in 24% of all responses – seeing notably heavy usage earlier in the lifecycle.

What's worse is **"not tracking risks at this stage"** was the answer in 16% of all responses, with a huge jump during the Offboarding and Termination stage.

Recommendations

The results of this study demonstrate that third-party risk management is gaining elevation in enterprises, but many programs haven't reached maximum airspeed yet due to the effects of manual processes and siloed tools.

For Goodness Sake, Remediate!

There is still a significant fall-off between risk tracking and remediation. To remediate risks down to an acceptable level to the business, leverage a third-party risk management platform that provides prescriptive remediation guidance, offers the ability to customize remediations, automates vendor communication and progress tracking, manages escalations with built-in workflows, and delivers key risk indicator (KRI) reporting to measure residual risk.